1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	NATASHA A. RIDDICK,	No. C-14-01419 DMR
12	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR
13	v.	FAILURE TO PROSECUTE
14	BEYONCE KNOWLES-CARTER,	
15	Defendant(s).	
16		
17	On March 27, 2014, Plaintiff filed suit in this court along with an application to proceed in	
18	forma pauperis ("IFP"). [Docket Nos. 1, 3.] On April 2, 2014, the court granted the IFP application	
19	but dismissed the complaint for failure to state a claim, granting Plaintiff until April 18, 2014 to	
20	remedy the deficiencies noted in this order. [Docket No. 5.] Plaintiff has not filed an amended	
21	complaint to date. Accordingly, the court ORDERS Plaintiff to respond by May 2, 2014 and	
22	explain why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Failure to respond by May 2,	
23	2014 may result in dismissal of this action.	
24		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 24, 2014

26

27

28

DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge